

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

independence; if to aid without taking possession would accord with the policy of the Carnegie Institution as well as with the preference of the laboratory people; if this would better meet the expectations of men of science generally, then the trust we have placed in the Carnegie trustees will surely find its best justification in the suggested modification of their proposition to us.

C. O. WHITMAN.

ADDRESS OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE BRITISH ASSOCIATION FOR THE AD-VANCEMENT OF SCIENCE.*

T.

The members of an association whose studies involve perpetual contemplation of settled law and ordered evolution, whose objects are to seek patiently for the truth of things and to extend the dominion of man over the forces of nature, are even more deeply pledged than other men to loyalty to the Crown and the Constitution which procure for them the essential conditions of calm security and social stability. I am confident that I express the sentiments of all now before me when I say that to our loyal respect for his high office we add a warmer feeling of loyalty and attachment to the person of our Gracious It is the peculiar felicity of Sovereign. the British Association that, since its foundation seventy-one years ago, it has always been easy and natural to cherish both these sentiments, which indeed can never be dissociated without peril. At this, our second meeting held under the present reign, these sentiments are realized all the more vividly, because, in common with the whole empire, we have recently passed through a period of acute apprehension, followed by the uplifting of a national deliverance. The splendid and imposing coronation cere-

* Given on September 10, at the Belfast meeting.

mony which took place just a month ago was rendered doubly impressive both for the King and his people by the universal consciousness that it was also a service of thanksgiving for escape from imminent peril. In offering to His Majesty our most hearty congratulations upon his singularly rapid recovery from a dangerous illness, we rejoice to think that the nation has received gratifying evidence of the vigor of his constitution, and may, with confidence more assured than before, pray that he may have length of happy and prosperous No one in his wide dominions is more competent than the King to realize how much he owes, not only to the skill of his surgeons, but also to the equipment which has been placed in their hands as the combined result of scientific investigation in many and diverse directions. He has already displayed a profound and sagacious interest in the discovery of methods for dealing with some of the most intractable maladies that still baffle scientific penetration; nor can we doubt that this interest extends to other forms of scientific investigation, more directly connected with the amelioration of the lot of the healthy than with the relief of the sick. Heredity imposes obligations and also confers aptitude for their discharge. If His Majesty's royal mother throughout her long and beneficent reign set him a splendid example of devotion to the burdensome labors of State which must necessarily absorb the chief part of his energies, his father no less clearly indicated the great part he may play in the encouragement of science. Intelligent appreciation of scientific work and needs is not less but more necessary in the highest quarters to-day than it was fortythree years ago, when His Royal Highness the Prince Consort brought the matter before this Association in the following memorable passage in his Presidential Address: "We may be justified, however, in hoping that by the gradual diffusion of science and its increasing recognition as a principal part of our national education, the public in general, no less than the legislature and the State, will more and more recognize the claims of science to their attention; so that it may no longer require the begging box, but speak to the State like a favored child to its parent, sure of his paternal solicitude for its welfare; that the State will recognize in science one of its elements of strength and prosperity, to protect which the clearest dictates of selfinterest demand." Had this advice been seriously taken to heart and acted upon by the rulers of the nation at the time, what splendid results would have accrued to this country! We should not now be painfully groping in the dark after a system of national education. We should not be wasting money, and time more valuable than money, in building imitations of foreign educational superstructures before having put in solid foundations. We should not be hurriedly and distractedly casting about for a system of tactics after confrontation with the disciplined and coordinated forces of industry and science led and directed by the rulers of powerful States. Forty-three years ago we should have started fair had the Prince Consort's views prevailed. As it is, we have lost ground which it will tax even this nation's splendid reserves of individual initiative to recover. Although in this country the King rules, but does not govern, the Constitution and the structure of English society assure to him a very potent and farreaching influence upon those who do gov-It is hardly possible to overrate the benefits that may accrue from his intelligent and continuous interest in the great problem of transforming his people into a scientifically educated nation. From this point of view we may congratulate our-

selves that the heir to the Crown, following his family traditions, has already deduced from his own observations in different parts of the empire some very sound and valuable conclusions as to the national needs at the present day.

GRIFFITH-GILBERT-CORNU.

The saddest yet the most sacred duty falling to us on such an occasion as the present is to pay our tribute to the memory of old comrades and fellow-workers whom we shall meet no more. We miss to-day a figure that has been familiar, conspicuous, and always congenial at the meetings of the British Association during the last forty years. Throughout the greater part of that period Mr. George Griffith discharged the onerous and often delicate duties of the assistant general secretary, not only with conscientious thoroughness and great ability, but also with urbanity, tact and courtesy that endeared him to all. His years sat lightly upon him. and his undiminished alertness and vigor caused his sudden death to come upon us all with a shock of surprise as well as of pain and grief. The British Association owes him a debt of gratitude which must be so fully realized by every regular attender of our meetings that no poor words of mine are needed to quicken your sense of loss, or to add to the poignancy of your regret.

The British Association has to deplore the loss from among us of Sir Joseph Gilbert, a veteran who continued to the end of a long life to pursue his important and beneficent researches with untiring energy. The length of his services in the cause of science cannot be better indicated than by recalling the fact that he was one of the six past presidents boasting fifty years' membership whose jubilee was celebrated by the Chemical Society in 1898. He was in fact an active member of that Society

for over sixty years. Early in his career he devoted himself to a most important but at that time little cultivated field of re-He strove with conspicuous success to place the oldest of industries on a scientific basis, and to submit the complex conditions of agriculture to a systematic analysis. He studied the physiology of plant life in the open air, not with the object of penetrating the secrets of structure, but with the more directly utilitarian aim of establishing the conditions of successful and profitable cultivation. long series of experiments alike well conceived and laboriously carried out, he determined the effects of variation in soil, and its chemical treatment—in short, in all the unknown factors with which the farmer previously had to deal according to empirical and local rules, roughly deduced from undigested experience by uncritical and rudimentary processes of inference. Gilbert had the faith, the insight, and the courage to devote his life to an investigation so difficult, so unpromising, and so unlikely to bring the rich rewards attainable by equal diligence in other directions, as to offer no attraction to the majority of men. The tabulated results of the Rothamsted experiments remain as a benefaction to mankind and a monument of indomitable and disinterested perseverance.

It is impossible for me in this place to offer more than the barest indication of the great place in contemporary science that has been vacated by the lamented death of Professor Alfred Cornu, who so worthily upheld the best traditions of scientific France. He was gifted in a high degree with the intellectual lucidity, the mastery of form, and the perspicuous methods which characterize the best exponents of French thought in all departments of study. After a brilliant career as a student, he was chosen at the early age of

twenty-six to fill one of the enviable positions more numerous in Paris than in London, the professorship of physics at the Ecole Polytechnique. In that post, which he occupied to the end of his life, he found what is probably the ideal combination for a man of science—leisure and material equipment for original research, together with that close and stimulating contact with practical affairs afforded by his duties as teacher in a great school, almost ranking as a department of State. Cornu was admirable alike in the use he made of his opportunities and in his manner of discharging his duties. He was at once a great investigator and a great teacher. shall not even attempt a summary, which at the best must be very imperfect, of his brilliant achievements in optics, the study of his predilection, in electricity, in acoustics, and in the field of physics generally. As a proof of the great estimation in which he was held, it is sufficient to remind you that he had filled the highest presidential offices in French scientific societies, and that he was a foreign member of our Royal Society and a recipient of its Rumford medal. In this country he had many friends, attracted no less by his personal and social qualities than by his commanding abilities. Some of those here present may remember his appearance a few years ago at the Royal Institution, and more recently his delivery of the Rede Lecture at Cambridge, when the University conferred upon him the honorary degree of Doctor of Science. His death has inflicted a heavy blow upon our generation, upon France, and upon the world.

THE PROGRESS OF BELFAST.

A great man has observed that the 'intelligent anticipation of events before they occur' is a factor of some importance in human affairs. One may suppose that intelligent anticipation had something to do

with the choice of Belfast as the meetingplace of the British Association this year. Or, if it had not, then it must be admitted that circumstances have conspired, as they occasionally do, to render the actual selection peculiarly felicitous. Belfast has perennial claims, of a kind that cannot easily be surpassed, to be the scene of a great scientific gathering-claims founded upon its scientific traditions and upon the conspicuous energy and success with which its citizens have prosecuted in various directions the application of science to the purposes of life. It is but the other day that the whole nation deplored at the grave of Lord Dufferin the loss of one of the most distinguished and most versatile public servants of the age. That great statesman and near neighbor of Belfast was a typical expression of the qualities and the spirit which have made Belfast what it is, and have enabled Ireland, in spite of all drawbacks, to play a great part in the Empire. I look around on your thriving and progressive city giving evidence of an enormous aggregate of industrial efforts intelligently organized and directed for the building up of a sound social fabric. find that your great industries are interlinked and interwoven with the whole economic framework of the Empire, and that you are silently and irresistibly compelled to harmonious cooperation by practical considerations acting upon the whole community. It is here that I look for the real Ireland, the Ireland of the future. cannot trace with precision the laws that govern the appearance of eminent men, but we may at least learn from history that they do not spring from every soil. They do not appear among decadent races or in ages of retrogression. They are the fine flower of the practical intellect of the nation working studiously and patiently in accordance with the great laws of conduct. In the manifold activities of Belfast we

have a splendid manifestation of individual energy working necessarily, even if not altogether consciously, for the national good. In great Irishmen like Lord Dufferin and Lord Roberts, giving their best energies for the defense of the nation by diplomacy or by war, we have complementary evidence enough to reassure the most timid concerning the real direction of Irish energies and the vital nature of Irish solidarity with the rest of the Empire.

Belfast has played a prominent part in a transaction of a somewhat special and significant kind, which has proved not a little confusing and startling to the easygoing public. The significance of the shipping combination lies in the light it throws on the conditions and tendencies which make such things possible, if not even inevitable. It is an event forcibly illustrating the declaration of His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales, that the nation must 'wake up' if it hopes to face its growing responsibilities. Belfast may plead with some justice that it, at least, has never gone to sleep. In various directions an immense advance has been effected during the twenty-eight years that have elapsed since the last visit of the British Association. Belfast has become first a city and then a county, and now ranks as one of the eight largest cities in the United Kingdom. Its municipal area has been considerably extended, and its population has increased by something like seventy-five per cent. It has not only been extended, but improved and beautified in a manner which very few places can match, and which probably none can surpass. Fine new thoroughfares, adorned with admirable public institutions, have been run through areas once covered with crowded and squalid buildings. Compared with the early fifties, when iron shipbuilding was begun on a very modest scale, the customs collected

at the port have increased tenfold. Since the introduction of the power-loom, about 1850, Belfast has distanced all rivals in the linen industry, which continues to flourish notwithstanding the fact that most of the raw material is now imported, instead of being produced, as in former times, in Ulster. Extensive improvements have been carried out in the port at a cost of several millions, and have been fully justified by a very great expansion of trade. These few bare facts suffice to indicate broadly the immense strides taken by Belfast in the last two decades. For an Association that exists for the advancement of science it is stimulating and encouraging to find itself in the midst of a vigorous community, successfully applying knowledge to the ultimate purpose of all human effort, the amelioration of the common lot by an ever-increasing mastery of the powers and resources of Nature.

TYNDALL AND EVOLUTION.

The presidential address delivered by Tyndall in this city twenty-eight years ago will always rank as an epoch-making deliverance. Of all the men of the time, Tyndall was one of the best equipped for the presentation of a vast and complicated scientific subject to the mass of his fellow-Gifted with the powers of a manysided original investigator, he had at the same time devoted much of his time to an earnest study of philosophy, and his literary and oratorical powers, coupled with a fine poetic instinct, were qualifications which placed him in the front rank of the scientific representatives of the later Victorian epoch, and constituted him an exceptionally endowed exponent of scientific thought. In the Belfast discourse Tyndall dealt with the changing aspects of the long unsettled horizon of human thought, at last illuminated by the sunrise of the doctrine of evolution. The consummate art

with which he marshalled his scientific forces for the purpose of effecting conviction of the general truth of the doctrine has rarely been surpassed. The courage, the lucidity, the grasp of principles, the moral enthusiasm with which he treated his great theme, have powerfully aided in effecting a great intellectual conquest, and the victory assuredly ought to engender no regrets.

Tyndall's views as a strenuous supporter and believer in the theory of evolution were naturally essentially optimistic. He had no sympathy with the lugubrious pessimistic philosophy whose disciples are for ever intent on administering rebuke to scientific workers by reminding them that, however much knowledge man may have acquired, it is as nothing compared with the immensity of his ignorance. That truth is indeed never adequately realized except by the man of science, to whom it is brought home by repeated experience of the fact that his most promising excursions into the unknown are invariably terminated by barriers which, for the time at least. are insurmountable. He who has never made such excursions with patient labor may indeed prattle about the vastness of the unknown, but he does so without real sincerity or intimate conviction. His tacit, if not his avowed, contention is that since we can never know all it is not worth while to seek to know more; and that in the profundity of his ignorance he has the right to people the unexplored spaces with the phantoms of his vain imagining. The man of science, on the contrary, finds in the extent of his ignorance a perpetual incentive to further exertion, and in the mysteries that surround him a continual invitation, nay, more, an inexorable mandate. Tyndall's writings abundantly prove that he had faced the great problems of man's existence with that calm intellectual courage, the lack of

which goes very far to explain the nervous dogmatism of nescience. Just because he had done this, because he had, as it were, mapped out the boundaries between what is knowable though not yet known and what must remain forever unknowable to man, he did not hesitate to place implicit reliance on the progress of which man is capable, through the exercise of patient and persistent research. In Tyndall's scheme of thought the chief dicta were the strict division of the world of knowledge from that of emotion, and the lifting of life by throwing overboard the malign residuum of dogmatism, fanaticism and intolerance, thereby stimulating and nourishing a plastic vigor of intellect. His cry was 'Commotion before stagnation, the leap of the torrent before the stillness of the swamp.'

His successors have no longer any need to repeat those significant words, 'We claim and we shall wrest from theology the entire domain of cosmological theory. The claim has been practically, though often unconsciously, conceded: Tyndall's dictum, 'Every system must be plastic to the extent that the growth of knowledge demands,' struck a note that was too often absent from the heated discussions of days that now seem so strangely remote. honorable admission that, after all that had been achieved by the developmental theory, 'the whole process of evolution is the manifestation of a power absolutely inscrutable to the intellect of man,' shows how willingly he acknowledged the necessary limits of scientific inquiry. This reservation did not prevent him from expressing the conviction forced upon him by the pressure of intellectual necessity, after exhaustive consideration of the known relations of living things, that matter in itself must be regarded as containing the promise and potency of all terrestrial life. Bacon in his day said very much the same thing: 'He that will know the properties and proceedings of matter should comprehend in his understanding the sum of all things, which have been, which are, and which shall be, although no knowledge can extend so far as to singular and individual beings.' Tyndall's conclusion was at the time thought to be based on a too insecure projection into the unknown, and some even regarded such an expansion of the crude properties of matter as totally unwarranted. Yet Tyndall was certainly no materialist in the ordinary acceptation of the It is true his arguments, like all arguments, were capable of being distorted, especially when taken out of their context, and the address became in this way an easy prey for hostile criticism. The glowing rhetoric that gave charm to his discourse and the poetic similes that clothed the dry bones of his close-woven logic were attacked by a veritable broadside of critical artillery. At the present day these would be considered as only appropriate artistic embellishments, so great is the unconscious change wrought in our surround-It must be remembered that, while Tyndall discussed the evolutionary problem from many points of view, he took up the position of a practical disciple of Nature dealing with the known experimental and observational realities of physical inquiry. Thus he accepted as fundamental concepts the atomic theory, together with the capacity of the atom to be the vehicle or repository of energy, and the grand generalization of the conservation of energy. Without the former, Tyndall doubted whether it would be possible to frame a theory of the material universe; and as to the latter he recognized its radical significance in that the ultimate philosophical issues therein involved were as yet but dimly seen. That such generalizations are provisionally accepted does not mean that science is not alive to the possibility that what may now be regarded as fundamental may in future be superseded or absorbed by a wider generalization. It is only the poverty of language and the necessity for compendious expression that oblige the man of science to resort to metaphor and to speak of the Laws of Nature. In reality, he does not pretend to formulate any laws for Nature, since to do so would be to assume a knowledge of the inscrutable cause from which alone such laws could emanate. When he speaks of a 'law of Nature' he simply indicates a sequence of events which, so far as his experience goes, is invariable. and which therefore enables him to predict, to a certain extent, what will happen in given circumstances. But, however seemingly bold may be the speculation in which he permits himself to indulge, he does not claim for his best hypothesis more than provisional validity. He does not forget that to-morrow may bring a new experience compelling him to recast the hypothesis of to-day. This plasticity of scientific thought, depending upon reverent recognition of the vastness of the unknown, is oddly made a matter of reproach by the very people who harp upon the limitations of human knowledge. Yet the essential condition of progress is that we should generalize to the best of our ability from the experience at command, treat our theory as provisionally true, endeavor to the best of our power to reconcile with it all the new facts we discover, and abandon or modify it when it ceases to afford a coherent explanation of new experience. That procedure is far as are the poles asunder from the presumptuous attempt to travel beyond the study of secondary causes. Any discussion as to whether matter or energy was the true reality would have appeared to Tyndall as a futile metaphysical disputation, which, being completely dissociated from verified experience, would lead to nothing. No explanation was attempted by him of the origin

of the bodies we call elements, nor how some of such bodies came to be compounded into complex groupings and built up into special structures with which, so far as we know, the phenomena characteristic of life are invariably associated. The evolutionary doctrine leads us to the conclusion that life, such as we know it, has only been possible during a short period of the world's history, and seems equally destined to disappear in the remote future: but it postulates the existence of a material universe endowed with an infinity of powers and properties, the origin of which it does not pretend to account for. The enigma at both ends of the scale Tyndall admitted. and the futility of attempting to answer such questions he fully recognized. Nevertheless, Tyndall did not mean that the man of science should be debarred from speculating as to the possible nature of the simplest forms of matter or the mode in which life may have originated on this planet. Lord Kelvin, in his presidential address, put the position admirably when he said 'Science is bound by the everlasting law of honor to face fearlessly every problem that can fairly be presented to it. probable solution consistent with the ordinary course of Nature can be found, we must not invoke an abnormal act of Creative Power'; and in illustration he forthwith proceeded to express his conviction that from time immemorial many worlds of life besides our own have existed, and that 'it is not an unscientific hypothesis that life originated on this earth through the moss-grown fragments from the ruins of another world.' In spite of the great progress made in science, it is curious to notice the occasional recrudescence of metaphysical dogma. For instance, there is a school which does not hesitate to revive ancient mystifications in order to show that matter and energy can be shattered by philosophical arguments, and have no objective reality. Science is at once more humble and more reverent. She confesses her ignorance of the ultimate nature of matter, of the ultimate nature of energy, and still more of the origin and ultimate synthesis of the two. She is content with her patient investigation of secondary causes, and glad to know that since Tyndall spoke in Belfast she has made great additions to the knowledge of general molecular mechanism, and especially of synthetic artifice in the domain of organic chemistry, though the more exhaustive acquaintance gained only forces us the more to acquiesce in acknowledging the inscrutable mystery of matter. Our conception of the power and potency of matter has grown in little more than a quarter of a century to much more imposing dimensions, and the outlook for the future assuredly suggests the increasing acceleration of our rate of progress. For the impetus he gave to scientific work and thought, and for his fine series of researches chiefly directed to what Newton called the more secret and noble works of Nature within the corpuscles, the world owes Tyndall a debt of gratitude. It is well that his memory should be held in perennial respect, especially in the land of his birth.

THE ENDOWMENT OF EDUCATION.

These are days of munificent benefactions to science and education, which however are greater and more numerous in other countries than in our own. Splendid as they are, it may be doubted, if we take into account the change in the value of money, the enormous increase of population, and the utility of science to the builders of colossal fortunes, whether they bear comparison with the efforts of earlier days. But the habit of endowing science was so long in practical abeyance that every evidence of its resumption is matter for sin-

cere congratulation. Mr. Cecil Rhodes has dedicated a very large sum of money to the advancement of education, though the means he has chosen are perhaps not the most effective. It must be remembered that his aims were political as much as educational. He had the noble and worthy ambition to promote enduring friendship between the great English-speaking communities of the world, and knowing the strength of college ties he conceived that this end might be greatly furthered by bringing together at an English university the men who would presumably have much to do in later life with the influencing of opinion, or even with the direction of policy. It has been held by some a striking tribute to Oxford that a man but little given to academic pursuits or modes of thought should think it a matter of high importance to bring men from our colonies or even from Germany, to submit to the formative influences of that ancient seat of learning. But this is perhaps reading Mr. Rhodes backwards. He showed his affectionate recollection of his college days by his gift to Oriel. But, apart from the main idea of fostering good relations between those who will presumably be influential in England, in the colonies and in the United States, Mr. Rhodes was probably influenced also by the hope that the influx of strangers would help to broaden Oxford notions and to procure revision of conventional arrangements.

Dr. Andrew Carnegie's endowment of Scottish universities, as modified by him in deference to expert advice, is a more direct benefit to the higher education. For while Mr. Rhodes has only enabled young men to get what Oxford has to give, Dr. Carnegie has also enabled his trustees powerfully to augment and improve the teaching equipment of the universities themselves. At the same time he has provided as far as possible for the enduring

His trustees usefulness of his money. form a permanent body external to the universities, which, while possessing no power of direct control, must always, as holder of the purse-strings, be in a position to offer independent and weighty crit-More recently Dr. Carnegie has icisms. devoted an equal sum of ten million dollars to the foundation of a Carnegie Institu-Here again he has tion in Washington. been guided by the same ideas. neither founded a university nor handed over the money to any existing university. He has created a permanent trust charged with the duty of watching educational efforts and helping them from the outside according to the best judgment that can be formed in the circumstances of the moment. Its aims are to be-to promote original research; to discover the exceptional man in every department of study, whether inside or outside of the schools, and to enable him to make his special study his life-work; to increase facilities for higher education; to aid and stimulate the universities and other educational institutions; to assist students who may prefer to study at Washington; to ensure prompt publication of The general purpose entific discoveries. of the founder is to secure, if possible, for the United States leadership in the domain of discovery and the utilization of new forces for the benefit of man. Nothing will more powerfully further this end than attention to the injunction to lay hold of the exceptional man whenever and wherever he may be found, and, having got him, to enable him to carry on the work for which he seems specially designed. means, I imagine, a scouring of the old world, as well as of the new, for the best men in every department of study—in fact, an assiduous collecting of brains similar to the collecting of rare books and works of art which Americans are now carrying

on in so lavish a manner. As in diplomacy and war, so in science, we owe our reputation, and no small part of our prosperity, to exceptional men; and that we do not enjoy these things in fuller measure we owe to our lack of an army of well-trained ordinary men capable of utilizing their ideas. Our exceptional men have too often worked in obscurity, without recognition from a public too imperfectly instructed to guess at their greatness, without assistance from a State governed largely by dialecticians, and without help from academic authorities hidebound by the pedantries of medieval scholasticism. For such men we have to wait upon the will of Even Dr. Carnegie will not Heaven. always find them when they are wanted. But what can be done in that direction will be done by institutions like Dr. Carnegie's, and for the benefit of the nation that posesses them in greatest abundance and uses them most intelligently. When contemplating these splendid endowments of learning, it occurred to me that it would be interesting to find out exactly what some definite quantity of scientific achievement has cost in hard cash. In an article by Carl Snyder in the January number of the North American Review, entitled 'America's Inferior Place in the Scientific World,' I found the statement that 'it would be hardly too much to say that during the hundred years of its existence the Royal Institution alone has done more for English science than all of the English universities put together. This is certainly true with regard to British industry, for it was here that the discoveries of Faraday were made.' I was emboldened by this estimate from a distant and impartial observer to do what otherwise I might have shrunk from doing, and to take the Royal Institution—after all, the foundation of an American citizen, Count Rumford—as the basis of my inquiry. The work done

at the Royal Institution during the past hundred years is a fairly definite quantity in the mind of every man really conversant with scientific affairs. I have obtained from the books accurate statistics of the total expenditure on experimental inquiry and public demonstration for the whole of the nineteenth century. The items are:

Professors' salaries-physics and chem-

istry	£	54,600
Laboratory expenditure		24,430
Assistants' salaries		21,590

Total for one hundred years..... £100,620

In addition, the members and friends of the Institution have contributed to a fund for exceptional expenditure for Experimental Research the sum of 9,580l. should also be mentioned that a Civil List pension of 300l. was granted to Faraday in 1853, and was continued during twentyseven years of active work and five years Thirty-two years in all, of retirement. at 300l. a year, making a sum of 9,600l., representing the national donation, which, added to the amount of expenditure just stated, brings up the total cost of a century of scientific work in the laboratories of the Royal Institution, together with public demonstrations, to 119,800l., or an average of 1,200l. per annum. I think if you recall the names and achievements of Young, Davy, Faraday and Tyndall, you will come to the conclusion that the exceptional man is about the cheapest of natural products. It is a popular fallacy that the Royal Institution is handsomely On the contrary, it has often endowed. been in financial straits; and since its foundation by Count Rumford its only considerable bequests have been one from Thomas G. Hodgkins, an American citizen, for Experimental Research, and that of John Fuller for endowing with 95l. a year the chairs of Chemistry and Physiology. In this connection the Davy-Faraday

Laboratory, founded by the liberality of Dr. Ludwig Mond, will naturally occur to many minds. But though affiliated to the Royal Institution, with, I hope, reciprocal indirect advantages, that Laboratory is financially independent and its endowments are devoted to its own special purpose, which is to provide opportunity to prosecute independent research for worthy and approved applicants of all nationalities. The main reliance of the Royal Institution has always been, and still remains. upon the contributions of its members, and upon corresponding sacrifices in the form of time and labor by its professors. It may be doubted whether we can reasonably count upon a succession of scientific men able and willing to make sacrifices which the conditions of modern life tend render increasingly burdensome. Modern science is in fact in something of Devotion to abstract research a dilemma. upon small means is becoming always harder to maintain, while at the same time the number of wealthy independent searchers after truth and patrons of science of the style of Joule, Spottiswoode and De la Rue is apparently becoming smaller. The installations required by the refinements of modern science are continually becoming more costly, so that upon all grounds it would appear that without endowments of the kind provided by Dr. Carnegie the outlook for disinterested research is rather dark. On the other hand, these endowments, unless carefully administered, might obviously tend to impair the single-minded devotion to the search after truth for its own sake, to which science has owed almost every memorable advance made in the past. The Carnegie Institution will dispose in a year of as much money as the members of the Royal Institution have expended in a century upon its purely scientific work. It will at least be interesting to note how far the output

of high-class scientific work corresponds to the hundredfold application of money to its production. Nor will it be of less interest to the people of this country to observe the results obtained from that moiety of Dr. Carnegie's gift to Scotland which is to be applied to the promotion of scientific research.

APPLIED CHEMISTRY, ENGLISH AND FOREIGN.

The Diplomatic and Consular reports published from time to time by the Foreign Office are usually too belated to be of much use to business men, but they sometimes contain information concerning what is done in foreign countries which affords food for reflection. One of these reports, issued a year ago, gives a very good account of the German arrangements and provisions for scientific training, and of the enormous commercial demand for the services of men who have passed successfully through the universities and technical high schools, as well as of the wealth that has accrued to Germany through the systematic application of scientific proficiency to the ordinary business of life.

Taking these points in their order, I have thought it a matter of great interest to obtain a comparative view of chemical equipment in this country and in Germany, and I am indebted to Professor Henderson, of Glasgow, who last year became the secretary of a committee of this Association of which Professor Armstrong is chairman. for statistics referring to this country. which enable a comparison to be broadly made. The author of the consular report estimates that in 1901 there were 4,500 trained chemists employed in German works, the number having risen to this point from 1,700 employed twenty-five years earlier. It is difficult to give perfectly accurate figures for this country, but a liberal estimate places the number of works chemists at 1,500, while at the

very outside it cannot be put higher than somewhere between 1,500 and 2,000. other words, we cannot show in the United Kingdom, notwithstanding the immense range of the chemical industries in which we once stood prominent, more than one third of the professional staff employed in Germany. It may perhaps be thought or hoped that we make up in quality for our defect in quantity, but unfortunately this is not the case. On the contrary, the German chemists are, on the average, as superior in technical training and acquirements as they are numerically. are given in the report of the training of 633 chemists employed in German works. Of these, 69 per cent. hold the degree of Ph.D., about 10 per cent. hold the diploma of a technical high school, and about 5 per cent. hold both qualifications. is to say 84 per cent, have received a thoroughly systematic and complete chemical training, and 74 per cent. of these add the advantages of a university career. pare with this the information furnished by 500 chemists in British works. these only 21 per cent. are graduates, while about 10 per cent. hold the diploma of a college. Putting the case as high as we can, and ignoring the more practical and thorough training of the German universities, which give their degrees for work done, and not for questions asked and answered on paper, we have only 31 per cent. of systematically trained chemists against 84 per cent. in German works. ought to be mentioned that about 21 per cent. of the 500 are fellows or associates of the Institute of Chemistry, whatever that may amount to in practice, but of these a very large number have already been accounted for under the heads of graduates and holders of diplomas. These figures. which I suspect are much too favorable on the British side, unmistakably point to the prevalence among employers in this coun-

try of the antiquated adherence to rule of thumb, which is at the root of much of the backwardness we have to deplore. It hardly needs to be pointed out to such an audience as the present that chemists who are neither graduates of a university, nor holders of a diploma from a technical college, may be competent to carry on existing processes according to traditional methods, but are very unlikely to effect substantial improvements, or to invent new and more efficient processes. I am very far from denying that here and there an individual may be found whose exceptional ability enables him to triumph over all defects of training. But in all educational matters it is the average man whom we have to consider, and the average ability which we have to develop. Now, to take the second point the actual money value of the industries carried on in Germany by an army of workers both quantitatively and qualitatively so superior to our own. The Consular report estimates the whole value of German chemical industries at not less than fifty millions sterling per annum. These industries have sprung up within the last seventy years, and have received enormous expansion during the last thirty. They are, moreover, very largely founded upon basic discoveries made by English chemists, but never properly appreciated or scientifically developed in the land of their birth. I will place before you some figures showing the growth of a single firm engaged in a single one of these industries the utilization of coal tar for the production of drugs, perfumes and coloringmatters of every conceivable shade. firm of Friedrich Bayer & Co. employed in 1875, 119 workmen. The number has more than doubled itself every five years, and in May of this year that firm employed 5,000 workmen, 160 chemists, 260 engineers and mechanics, and 680 clerks. For many years past it has regularly paid 18 per

cent. on the ordinary shares, which this year has risen to 20 per cent.; and in addition, in common with other and even larger concerns in the same industry, has paid out of profits for immense extensions usually charged to capital account. There is one of these factories, the works and plant of which stand in the books at 1,500,-000l., while the money actually sunk in them approaches to 5,000,000l. In other words, the practical monopoly enjoyed by the German manufacturers enables them to exact huge profits from the rest of the world, and to establish a position which. financially as well as scientifically, is almost unassailable. I must repeat that the fundamental discoveries upon which this gigantic industry is built were made in this country, and were practically developed to a certain extent by their au-But in spite of the abundance and cheapness of the raw material, and in spite of the evidence that it could be most remuneratively worked up, these founded no school and had practically no successors. The colors they made were driven out of the field by newer and better colors made from their stuff by the deyelopment of their ideas, but these improved colors were made in Germany and not in England. Now what is the explanation of this extraordinary and disastrous phenomenon? I give it in a word—want of education. We had the material in abundance when other nations had comparatively little. We had the capital, and we had the brains, for we originated the whole thing. But we did not possess the diffused education without which the ideas of men of genius cannot fructify beyond the limited scope of an individual. aware that our patent laws are sometimes held responsible. Well, they are a contributory cause; but it must be remembered that other nations with patent laws as protective as could be desired have not

developed the color industry. The patent laws have only contributed in a secondary degree, and if the patent laws have been bad the reason for their badness is again want of education. Make them as bad as you choose, and you only prove that the men who made them, and the public whom these men try to please, were misled by theories instead of being conversant with fact and logic. But the root of the mischief is not in the patent laws or in any legislation whatever. It is in the want of education among our so-called educated classes, and secondarily among the workmen on whom these depend. It is in the abundance of men of ordinary plodding ability, thoroughly trained and methodically directed, that Germany at present has so commanding an advantage. the failure of our schools to turn out, and of our manufacturers to demand, men of this kind, which explains our loss of some valuable industries and our precarious hold upon others. Let no one imagine for a moment that this deficiency can be remedied by any amount of that technical training which is now the fashionable It is an excellent thing, no doubt, but it must rest upon a foundation of general training. Mental habits are formed for good or evil long before men go to the technical schools. We have to begin at the beginning: we have to train the population from the first to think correctly and logically, to deal at first hand with facts, and to evolve, each one for himself, the solution of a problem put before him, instead of learning by rote the solution given by somebody else. There are plenty of chemists turned out, even by our universities, who would be of no use to Bayer & Co. They are chockfull of formulæ, they can recite theories, and they know text-books by heart; but put them to solve a new problem, freshly arisen in the laboratory, and you will find that their

learning is all dead. It has not become a vital part of their mental equipment, and they are floored by the first emergence of the unexpected. The men who escape this mental barrenness are men who were somehow or other taught to think long before they went to the university. To my mind. the really appalling thing is not that the Germans have seized this or the other industry, or even that they may have seized upon a dozen industries. It is that the German population has reached a point of general training and specialized equipment which it will take us two generations of hard and intelligently directed educational work to attain. It is that Germany possesses a national weapon of precision which must give her an enormous initial advantage in any and every contest depending upon disciplined and methodized intellect.

HISTORY OF COLD AND THE ABSOLUTE ZERO.

It was Tyndall's good fortune to appear before you at a moment when a fruitful and comprehensive idea was vivifying the whole domain of scientific thought. At the present time no such broad generalization presents itself for discussion, while on the other hand the number of specialized studies has enormously increased. Science is advancing in so broad a front by the efforts of so great an army of workers that it would be idle to attempt within the limits of an address to the most indulgent of audiences anything like a survey of chemistry alone. But I have thought it might be instructive, and perhaps not uninteresting, to trace briefly in broad outline the development of that branch of study with which my own labors have been recently more intimately connected—a study which I trust I am not too partial in thinking is as full of philosophical interest as of experimental difficulty. The nature of heat and cold must have engaged thinking men from the very earliest dawn of speculation upon the external world; but it will suffice for the present purpose if, disregarding ancient philosophers and even mediæval alchemists, we take up the subject where it stood after the great revival of learning, and as it was regarded by the father of the inductive method. That this was an especially attractive subject to Bacon is evident from the frequency with which he recurs to it in his different works, always with lamentation over the inadequacy of the means at disposal for obtaining a considerable degree of cold. in the chapter in the 'Natural History,' 'Sylva Sylvarum,' entitled 'Experiments in consort touching the production of cold,' he says, 'The production of cold is a thing very worthy of the inquisition both for the use and the disclosure of causes. For heat and cold are nature's two hands whereby she chiefly worketh, and heat we have in readiness in respect of the fire, but for cold we must stay till it cometh or seek it in deep caves or high mountains, and when all is done we cannot obtain it in any degree, for furnaces of fire are far hotter than a summer sun, but vaults and hills are not much colder than a winter's frost.' The great Robert Boyle was the first experimentalist who followed up Bacon's suggestion. In 1682 Boyle read a paper to the Royal Society on 'New Experiments and Observations touching Cold, or an Experimental History of Cold,' published two years later in a separate work. is really a most complete history of everything known about cold up to that date, but its great merit is the inclusion of numerous experiments made by Boyle himself on frigorific mixtures, and the general effects of such upon matter. The agency chiefly used by Boyle in the conduct of his experiments was the glaciating mixture of snow or ice and salt. In the course of his experiment he made many

important observations. Thus he observed that the salts which did not help the snow or ice to dissolve faster gave no effective freezing. He showed that water in becoming ice expands by about one ninth of its volume, and bursts gun-barrels. attempted to counteract the expansion and prevent freezing by completely filling a strong iron ball with water before cooling; anticipating that it might burst the bottle by the stupendous forces of expansion, or that if it did not, then the ice produced might under the circumstances be heavier than water. He speculated in an ingenious way on the change of water into ice. he says, 'If cold be but a privation of heat through the recess of that ethereal substance which agitated the little eel-like particles of the water and thereby made them compose a fluid body, it may easily be conceived that they should remain rigid in the postures in which the ethereal substances quitted them, and thereby compose an unfluid body like ice; yet how these little eels should by that recess acquire as strong an endeavor outwards as if they were so many little springs and expand themselves with so stupendous a force, is that which does not so readily appear.' The greatest degree of adventitious cold Boyle was able to produce did not make air exposed to its action lose a full tenth of its own volume, so that, in his own words, the cold does not 'weaken the spring by anything near so considerable as one would expect.' making this remarkable observation and commenting upon its unexpected nature, it is strange Boyle did not follow it up. He questions the existence of a body of its own nature supremely cold, by participating in which all other bodies obtain that quality, although the doctrine of a primum frigidum had been accepted by many sects of philosophers; for, as he says, 'if a body being cold signify no more than its not having its sensible parts so much agitated

as those of our sensorium, it suffices that the sun or the fire or some other agent, whatever it were, that agitated more vehemently its parts before, does either now cease to agitate them or agitates them but very remissly, so that till it be determined whether cold be a positive quality or but a privative it will be needless to contend what particular body ought to be esteemed the primum frigidum.' The whole elaborate investigation cost Boyle immense labor, and he confesses that he 'never handled any part of natural philosophy that was so troublesome and full of hardships.' He looked upon his results but as a 'beginning' in this field of inquiry, and for all the trouble and patience expended he consoled himself with the thought of 'men being oftentimes obliged to suffer as much wet and cold and dive as deep to fetch up sponges as to fetch up pearls.' After the masterly essay of Boyle, the attention of investigators was chiefly directed to improving thermometrical in-The old air thermometer of struments. Galileo being inconvenient to use, the introduction of fluid thermometers greatly aided the inquiry into the action of heat For a time great difficulty was encountered in selecting proper fixed points on the scales of such instruments, and this stimulated men like Huygens, Newton, Hooke and Amontons to suggest remedies and to conduct experiments. By the beginning of the eighteenth century the freezing-point and the boiling-point of water were agreed upon as fixed points, and the only apparent difficulties to be overcome were the selection of the fluid, accurate calibration of the capillary tube of the thermometer, and a general understanding as to scale divisions. It must be confessed that great confusion and inaccuracy in temperature observations arose from the variety and crudeness of the in-This led Amontons in 1702-3 struments.

to contribute two papers to the French Academy which reveal great originality in the handling of the subject, and which, strange to say, are not generally known. The first discourse deals with some new properties of the air and the means of accurately ascertaining the temperature in He regarded heat as due to any climate. a movement of the particles of bodies, though he did not in any way specify the nature of the motion involved; and as the general cause of all terrestrial motion, so that in its absence the earth would be without movement in its smallest parts. new facts he records are observations on the spring or pressure of air brought about by the action of heat. He shows that different masses of air measured at the same initial spring or pressure, when heated to the boiling-point of water, acquire equal increments of spring or pressure, provided the volume of the gas be kept at its initial value. Further, he proves that if the pressure of the gas before heating be doubled or tripled, then the additional spring or pressure resulting from heating to the boiling-point of water is equally doubled or tripled. In other words, the ratio of the total spring of air at two definite and steady temperatures and at constant volume is a constant, independent of the mass or the initial pressure of the air in the thermometer. These results led to the increased perfection of the air thermometer as a standard instrument, Amontons' idea being to express the temperature at any locality in fractions of the degree of heat of boiling water. The great novelty of the instrument is that temperature is defined by the measurement of the length of a column of mercury. In passing, he remarks that we do not know the extreme of heat and cold, but that he has given the results of experiments which establish correspondences for those who wish to consider the subject. In the following year

Amontons contributed to the Academy a further paper extending the scope of the He there pointed out more explicitly that as the degrees of heat in his thermometer are registered by the height of a column of mercury, which the heat is able to sustain by the spring of the air, it follows that the extreme cold of the thermometer will be that which reduces the air to have no power of spring. This, he says, will be a much greater cold than what we call 'very cold,' because experiments have shown that if the spring of the air at boiling-point is 73 inches, the degree of heat which remains in the air when brought to the freezing-point of water is still very great, for it can still maintain the spring of 51½ inches. The greatest climatic cold on the scale of units adopted by Amontons is marked 50, and the greatest summer heat 58, the value for boiling water being 73, and the zero being 52 units below the freezing-point. Thus Amontons was the first to recognize that the use of air as a thermometric substance led to the inference of the existence of a zero of temperature, and his scale is nothing else than the absolute one we are now so familiar with. It results from Amontons' experiment that the air would have no spring left if it were cooled below the freezing-point of water to about $2\frac{1}{2}$ times the temperature range which separates the boiling-point and the freez-In other words, if we adopt ing-point. the usual centennial difference between these two points of temperature as 100 degrees, then the zero of Amontons' air thermometer is minus 240 degrees. This is a remarkable approximation to our modern value for the same point of minus 273 degrees. It has to be confessed that Amontons' valuable contributions knowledge met with that fate which has so often for a time overtaken the work of too-advanced discoverers; in other words, it was simply ignored, or in any case not

appreciated by the scientific world either of that time or half a century later. is not till Lambert, in his work on 'Pyrometrie' published in 1779, repeated Amontons' experiments and endorsed his results that we find any further reference to the absolute scale or the zero of tempera-Lambert's observations were made with the greatest care and refinement, and resulted in correcting the value of the zero of the air scale to minus 270 degrees as compared with Amontons' minus 240 Lambert points out that the degree of temperature which is equal to zero is what one may call absolute cold, and that at this temperature the volume of the air. would be practically nothing. In other words, the particles of the air would fall together and touch each other and become dense like water; and from this it may be inferred that the gaseous condition is caused by heat. Lambert says that Amontons' discoveries had found few adherents because they were too beautiful and advanced for the time in which he lived.

About this time a remarkable observation was made by Professor Braun at Moscow, who, during the severe winter of 1759, succeeded in freezing mercury by the use of a mixture of snow and nitric When we remember that mercury was regarded as quite a peculiar substance possessed of the essential quality of fluidity, we can easily understand the universal created by the experiment of Braun. This was accentuated by the observations he made on the temperature given by the mercury thermometer, which appeared to record a temperature as low as minus 200° C. The experiments were soon repeated by Hutchins at Hudson's Bay, who conducted his work with the aid of suggestions given him by Cavendish and Black. The result of the new observations was to show that the freezing-point of mercury is only minus 40° C., the errors

in former experiments having been due to the great contraction of the mercury in the thermometer in passing into the solid state. From this it followed that the enormous natural and artificial colds which had generally been believed in had no proved existence. Still the possible existence of a zero of temperature very different from that deduced from gas thermometry had the support of such distinguished names as those of Laplace and Lavoisier. Intheir great memoir on 'Heat,' after making what they consider reasonable hypotheses as to the relation between specific heat and total heat, they calculate values for the zero which range from 1,500° to 3,000° below melting ice. On the whole, they regard the absolute zero as being in any case 600° below the freezing-point. Lavoisier, in his 'Elements of Chemistry' published in 1792, goes further in the direction of indefinitely lowering the zero of temperature when he says, 'We are still very far from being able to produce the degree of absolute cold, or total deprivation of heat, being unacquainted with any degree of coldness which we cannot suppose capable of still further augmentation; hence it follows we are incapable of causing the ultimate particles of bodies to approach each other as near as possible, and thus these particles do not touch each other in any state hitherto known.' Even as late as the beginning of the nineteenth century we find Dalton, in his new system of 'Chemical Philosophy,' giving ten calculations of this value, and adopting finally as the natural zero of temperature minus 3,000° C.

In Black's lectures we find that he takes a very cautious view with regard to the zero of temperature, but as usual is admirably clear with regard to its exposition. Thus he says, "We are ignorant of the lowest possible degree or beginning of heat. Some ingenious attempts have been made to estimate what it may be, but they have not proved satisfactory. Our knowledge of the degrees of heat may be compared to what we should have of a chain, the two ends of which were hidden from us and the middle only exposed to our view. might put distinct marks on some of the links, and number the rest according as they are nearest to or further removed from the principal links; but not knowing the distance of any links from the end of the chain we could not compare them togther with respect to their distance or say that one link was twice as far from the end of the chain as another." It is interesting to observe, however, that Black was evidently well acquainted with the work of Amontons and strongly supports his inference as to the nature of air. Thus, in discussing the general cause of vaporization, Black says that some philosophers have adopted the view "that every palpable elastic fluid in nature is produced and preserved in this form by the action of heat. Mr. Amontons, an ingenious member of the late Royal Academy of Sciences, at Paris, was the first who proposed this idea with respect to the atmosphere. He supposed that it might be deprived of the whole of its elasticity and condensed and even frozen into a solid matter were it in our power to apply to it a sufficient cold: that it is a substance that differs from others by being incomparably more volatile, and which is therefore converted into vapor and preserved in that form by a weaker heat than any that ever happened or can obtain in this globe, and which, therefore, cannot appear under any other form than the one it now wears, so long as the constitution of the world remains the same as at present." The views that Black attributes to Amontons have been generally associated with the name of Lavoisier, who practically admitted similar possibilities as to the nature of air; but it is not likely that in such matters Black would commit any mistake as to the real author of a particular idea, especially in his own department of knowl-Black's own special contribution to low-temperature studies was his explanation of the interaction of mixtures of ice with salts and acids by applying the doctrine of the latent heat of fluidity of ice to account for the frigorific effect. similar way Black explained the origin of the cold produced in Cullen's remarkable experiment of the evaporation of ether under the receiver of an air-pump by pointing out that the latent heat of vaporization in this case necessitated such a result. Thus, by applying his own discoveries of latent heat, Black gave an intelligent explanation of the cause of all the low-temperature phenomena known in his day.

After the gaseous laws had been definitely formulated by Gay-Lussac Dalton, the question of the absolute zero of temperature, as deduced from the properties of gases, was revived by Clement and Desormes. These distinguished investigators presented a paper on the subject to the French Academy in 1812, which, it appears, was rejected by that body. authors subsequently elected to publish it in 1819. Relying on what we know now to have been a faulty hypothesis, they deduced from observations on the heating of air rushing into a vacuum the temperature of minus 267 degrees as that of the absolute They further endeavored to show. by extending to lower temperatures the volume or the pressure coefficients of gases given by Gay-Lussac, that at the same temperature of minus 267 degrees the gases would contract so as to possess no appreciable volume, or, alternatively, if the pressure was under consideration, it would become so small as to be non-existent. though full reference is given to previous work bearing on the same subject, yet, curiously enough, no mention is made of the name of Amontons. It certainly gave remarkable support to Amontons' notion of the zero to find that simple gases like hydrogen and compound gases like ammonia, hydrochloric, carbonic and sulphurous acids should all point to substantially the same value for this temperature. But the most curious fact about this research of Clement and Desormes is that Gay-Lussac was a bitter opponent of the validity of the inferences they drew either from his work or their own. The mode in which Gay-Lussac regarded the subject may be succinctly put as follows: A quick compression of air to one fifth volume raises its temperature to 300 degrees, and if this could be made much greater and instantaneous the temperature might rise to 1,000 or 2,000 degrees. Conversely, if air under five atmospheres were suddenly dilated, it would absorb as much heat as it had evolved during compression, and its temperature would be lowered by 300 de-Therefore, if air were taken and compressed to fifty atmospheres or more, the cold produced by its sudden expansion would have no limit. In order to meet position Clement and Desormes adopted the following reasoning: They pointed out that it had not been proved that Gay-Lussac was correct in his hypothesis, but that in any case it tacitly involves the assumption that a limited quantity of matter possesses an unlimited supply of If this were the case, then heat heat. would be unlike any other measurable thing or quality. It is, therefore, more consistent with the course of nature to suppose that the amount of heat in a body is like the quantity of elastic fluid filling a vessel, which, while definite in original amount, one may make less and less by getting nearer to a complete exhaustion. Further, to realize the absolute zero in the one case is just as impossible as to realize the absolute vacuum in the other; and as we do not doubt a zero of pressure,

although it is unattainable, for the same reason we ought to accept the reality of the absolute zero. We know now that Gav-Lussac was wrong in supposing the increment of temperature arising from a given gaseous compression would produce a corresponding decrement from an identical After this time the zero of expansion. temperature was generally recognized as a fixed ideal point, but in order to show that it was hypothetical a distinction was drawn between the use of the expressions, zero of absolute temperature and the absolute zero.

The whole question took an entirely new form when Lord Kelvin, in 1848, after the mechanical equivalent of heat had been determined by Joule, drew attention to the great principles underlying Carnot's work on the 'Motive Power of Heat,' and applied them to an absolute method of temperature measurement, which is completely independent of the properties of any particular substance. The principle was that for a difference of one degree on this scale, between the temperatures of the source and refrigerator, perfect engine should give the same amount of work in every part of the scale. Taking the same fixed points as for the Centigrade scale, and making 100 of the new degrees cover that range, it was found that the degrees not only within that range, but as far beyond as experimental data supplied the means of comparison, differed by only minute quantities from those of Regnault's The zero of the new air thermometer. scale had to be determined by the consideration that when the refrigerator was at the zero of temperature the perfect engine should give an amount of work equal to the full mechanical equivalent of the heat taken up. This led to a zero of 273 degrees below the temperature of freezing water. substantially the same as that deduced from a study of the gaseous state.

a great advance to demonstrate by the application of the laws of thermodynamics not only that the zero of temperature is a reality, but that it must be located at 273 degrees below the freezing-point of water. As no one has attempted to impugn the solid foundation of theory and experiment on which Lork Kelvin based his thermodynamic scale, the existence of a definite zero of temperature must be acknowledged as a fundamental scientific fact.

James Dewar.

(To be concluded.)

SCIENTIFIC BOOKS.

Essays in Historical Chemistry. By T. E. THORPE, LL.D., F.R.S., Principal of the Government Laboratory, London. London and New York, Macmillan Co. 1902. 8vo. Pp. 582.

This book, as explained in the preface, consists mainly of lectures and addresses given at various times to audiences of very different type during the last twenty-five years. Although the author says his book has no pretensions to be considered a history of chemistry, even of the time to which the narratives relate, it is in reality a most interesting and charmingly written account of chemical discovery and of the development of chemical theory of the past century as connected with the lives of the great men who have made the science of chemistry what it is to-day.

It is true that none of these essays deals directly with Black, Dalton, Berzelius or Liebig, yet there is so much incidental mention of the work of these investigators that their places in the growing science are amply indicated.

Boyle, Priestley, Cavendish, Watt, Faraday and Graham are the English subjects of these addresses, and from the Continent we have Scheele, Lavoisier, Wöhler, Dumas, Kopp, Victor Meyer, Mendeleeff and Cannizzaro, and the latter group are as sympathetically treated as the former.

The author has the happy gift of making the subjects of his study stand out vividly as individuals, and we follow their careers,